



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,789	11/24/2003	Kazunori Hagimoto	136034	1591
25944 7590 07/02/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				
EXAMINER				
WEISS, HOWARD				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2814				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/02/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/718,789

**Applicant(s)**

HAGIMOTO ET AL.

**Examiner**

Howard Weiss

**Art Unit**

2814

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Howard Weiss.(3) Rodney Rothwell.(2) Steve Jinks.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 June 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 33-38 and 91-95.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yasutomi et al., Carter-Coman et al., Burt.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Jinks discussed that the Si-diffusion blocking properties of NiV as applied in Carter-Coman et al. is not inherent. Examiner Weiss disagreed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Howard Weiss/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2814

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required